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Electric vehicle growth will lead to deepening shortages of metals abundant on the seabed.

Source: John Petersen, 2019 AABC Conference, Ocean Minerals internal analysis.
Electric vehicle growth will lead to deepening shortages of key metals for a green economy

Class 1 Nickel Supply & Demand

EV demand will also result in a shortage of rare earths neodymium and praseodymium for electric motors. China has achieved a hegemony on rare earth production and processing. Illegal mining of heavy rare earth elements in China has recently stopped. China’s internal demand for magnet metals is soaking up the world supply.

Geopolitics of cobalt

China dominates the cobalt supply chain for battery grade cobalt: large ownership stake in the main producing country, Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), and 80% of the world’s refining capacity.

Artisanal mining with child labor in the DRC has been criticized by Amnesty International.
Primary types of deep seabed mineral resources

Fe-Mn Crusts on Seamounts
800 – 2500 m (Co, Ni, Mn)

Fe-Mn Nodules on Abyssal Plains
4000 – 6000 m (Ni, Cu, Co, Mn)

Seafloor Massive Sulfides from hydrothermal vents on Back Arc Basins
1500 – 2500 m (Cu, Ag, Au, Zn)
[from: German Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources (BGR)]

Rare Earth Enriched Sediment
Pelagic clays > 4000 m (REEs + Sc)
[from Kato, nat. geos. 3 July 11]
Locations of known seabed mineral resources

Source: Hein et al, 2013
Seabed mineral deposits are many times larger than land-based resources

- **Pacific seabed** nodules and crusts contains 4-5 times the nickel and cobalt known on land

Nodules (and crusts), unlike most terrestrial deposits, lie exposed on the seafloor... no overburden, and the deposits are homogeneous over many square kilometers of the seafloor.

Sources:
- USGS National Minerals Information Center Commodity, Summaries for Cobalt and Nickel (Terrestrial Resource)
1970s mining consortia established feasibility of mining and processing of nodules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consortium</th>
<th>Members</th>
<th>Achievements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OMA Consortium</td>
<td>Deepsea Ventures, U.S. Steel, Sun Oil &amp; Union Minière</td>
<td>Collected 500 MT of nodules with an airlift riser and hydraulic collector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMCO Consortium</td>
<td>Lockheed, Amoco, Billiton &amp; Boskalis</td>
<td>Tested self-propelled collector with mechanical pick-up system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMI Consortium</td>
<td>Inco, DOMCO, Preussag, Metallgesellschaft AG &amp; SEDCO</td>
<td>Collected 800 MT of nodules with airlift riser and pump systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCON Consortium</td>
<td>Kennecott, Mitsubishi, Noranda, RTZ, Goldfields &amp; BP Minerals</td>
<td>Tested hydraulic towed collector and developed enhanced airlift model.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four international consortia spent over USD $1 Billion (2019 $) to prove the viability of nodule mining and processing, concluding it was

- Technically feasible, and
- Cost competitive with laterites (for Ni)
Actual Nodule Mining (Pilot Plant)
OML’s REE and Nodule Projects in the Cook Islands

- **OML has exclusive rights to apply for rare earth enriched sediment exploration licenses in a 12,000 km² area.**
- **OML has exclusive rights to apply for cobalt-rich nodule exploration licenses in a 24,000 km² area.**

The Cook Islands is a Sovereign Commonwealth Nation with a commitment to see seabed mining succeed.
Nodules contain cobalt, nickel, and manganese

- OML has a large cobalt resource identified within the Cook Islands Exclusive Economic Zone.
- This is a primary cobalt resource: 0.5% cobalt compared to <0.1% in many nickel based terrestrial deposits, and <0.2% in CCZ nodules.
- Large exploration target of a further 1.5MM MT of cobalt is present in existing resource area.

OML’s NI 43-101 Resource report lists the following metal tonnages within the region.

- Cobalt
  - 1,000,000 mt contained metal
- Nickel
  - 470,000 mt contained metal
- Manganese
  - 31,000,000 mt contained metal
- Copper
  - 260,000 mt contained metal
Cook Islands also has REE Enriched Seabed Sediment

- 2014: US Department of Defense / Army Research Lab awarded research agreement to Deep Reach Technology to investigate seabed recovery of REEs, (2 YR/$2M study) which led to the discovery of a potential commercially recoverable deposit in the Cook Islands.
- The Cook Islands deposit is particularly high in the percentage of valuable heavy rare earth elements (HREEs).
- Similar deposits have been found in the Japanese EEZ and may exist in the U.S. EEZ!

• Upper 4-m of sediment REE content averages 3000 ppm
Seabed REE Enriched Sediments in the Cook Islands are uniquely rich in critical elements.

The REE Enriched Sediments in the Cook Islands are high in the percentage of critical magnet metals: NdPr and DyTb.

Ratio of Nd/Ce Grades for Cook Islands REE sample and other known terrestrial deposits.

From US DOE Critical Materials Strategy report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Estimated Production (tpy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La2O3</td>
<td>2,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO2</td>
<td>1,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr6O11</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd2O3</td>
<td>2,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm2O3</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu2O3</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd2O3</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb4O7</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy2O3</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho2O3</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er2O3</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm2O3</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb2O3</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu2O3</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2O3</td>
<td>3,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc2O3</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,927</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shaded cells are “Critical Rare Earth Elements” per US DOE!
OML’s Cook Island Nodule Project to produce 12,000 MT per year of cobalt

- **Production** projected to commence in **2026**
- Uses **field-proven technology**
- Converts **existing ore carriers** for mining and transportation
- Implements **improvements pioneered by deep water oil & gas industry**
- Provides **scalable & modular production volume growth with additional vessels**
- **Uncomplicated mining** process aided by
  - nodules lying uncovered on the seafloor
  - Homogeneous nature of the ore body
Nodule mining and processing C1 costs

- Battery grade cobalt sulfate from nodule been estimated to be in the 2\textsuperscript{nd} quartile of costs when compared with terrestrial copper and nickel-based cobalt.

- Battery grade nickel sulfate costs from nodules have been estimated to be in the 1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} quartile of costs when compared with terrestrial sources.

Costs of producing battery grade cobalt and nickel from nodules could be lower than most land-based options.
Cost of recovering REEs from sediment is competitive

- Seabed Sediment REE costs for mining and extraction have been estimated to be competitive with new terrestrial projects.
- The commodity basket is weighted toward critical and valuable rare earth elements, which supports development.
Roadmap to commercialization on nodule project

### OML Nodule Mining Project Development

**Key Milestones reflecting Associated Cumulative Costs**

| OML's Cumulative | 2018 Q1 | 2018 Q2 | 2018 Q3 | 2018 Q4 | 2019 Q1 | 2019 Q2 | 2019 Q3 | 2019 Q4 | 2020 Q1 | 2020 Q2 | 2020 Q3 | 2020 Q4 | 2021 Q1 | 2021 Q2 | 2021 Q3 | 2021 Q4 | 2022 Q1 | 2022 Q2 | 2022 Q3 | 2022 Q4 | 2023 Q1 | 2023 Q2 | 2023 Q3 | 2023 Q4 | 2024 Q1 | 2024 Q2 | 2024 Q3 | 2024 Q4 | 2025 Q1 | 2025 Q2 | 2025 Q3 | 2025 Q4 | 2026 Q1 | 2026 Q2 | 2026 Q3 | 2026 Q4 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Issue Resource Report - NI 43-101 | $1,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Publish Preliminary Economic Assessment | $3,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Award of Exploration Licenses | $4,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Complete Expedition #1 (Bulk Nodules) | $10,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Complete Metallurgical Testing of Nodules | $15,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Publish initial Pre-Feasibility Report (PFS) | $16,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Publish Updated Pre-Feasibility Report | $26,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offtake Agreement Finalised | $28,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Submit Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) | $30,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Publish Detailed Feasibility Study (DFS) | $34,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approval of EIA & Award of Mining License | $35,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Sanction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Start of Production | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

### Project Expense Assumptions

- Mining system development and pilot testing costs carried by strategic partner.
- Processing system development and pilot testing costs carried by strategic partner.

Production can commence within 7 years provided early stage support and funding is forthcoming.
OML's commitment to responsible seabed mining

• Employ Precautionary Approach in order to ensure minimal harm and impact to the environment.
• Employ adaptive management.
• Apply best available technology.
• Ensure local communities and stakeholders benefit directly.
• Respect the concerns and cultural values of local communities.
• Implement transparency and frequent communications regarding environmental issues.
• Leverage work by others in terms of understanding the environmental stressors and necessary safeguards.
• Design, engineer, and plan for long term, low impact operations.

The project's goal is to economically produce large quantities of Technology Metals in an ethical manner with minimal environmental impacts.

Significant environmental work has been done

- **1975** – Deep Ocean Mining Environmental Study (DOMES): five-year study report published in 1981; formed the basis for NOAA’s Deep Seabed Mining Final Programmatic EIS (198x)

- **Late 1970s through the 1990s** – U.S./Russia Benthic Impact Experiment (BIE, BIE-II) followed by a series of other experiments focused on recording the impacts of seabed sediment disturbance and re-deposition (plume testing) resulting from mining

- **1989** – very large DISCOL seafloor disturbance project conducted by German researchers in Peru Basin and revisited multiple times for post-impact studies (JPI Oceans & MIDAS revisited in 2015)

- **2000s** – Kaplan Project (2002 – 2007), focused on biology

- **2013 and 2015** – Abyssline Project cruises, focus on biology

- **2015** – independent EcoResponse (GEOMAR) environmental cruise
  - Studied biodiversity, geology, geochemistry of settings and genetic connectivity between distant deep-sea populations
  - Compared fauna from seamounts with fauna living attached to the nodules

- **Current ongoing work** –
  - All exploration contract holders in CCZ are conducting environmental baseline cruises
  - Preservation Reference Zones (PRZs) mandated by ISA
  - 9, 400x400km “Areas of Particular Environmental Interest” (APEIs) are set aside in the CCZ for protection
OML work program prior to receiving Exploration Licenses

• Focus on low cost, high impact, and locally based methods of initiating the environmental baseline data collection

• Pelagic Observer System (PelagOS) – OML is developing low cost, tablet-based tool to be deployed on variety of local vessels in the Cook Islands to begin the collection of data (Initiated Q1 2019)
  • Birds, mammals, turtles, fish, other vessels, trash
  • Associated geolocation data
  • Associated conditions (sea state, swell conditions, wind, cloud, rain)
  • All local “observers”, trained by OML

• Environmental Scoping Study (initiated Q2 2019)
  • Identify potential environmental stressors
  • Propose methods to measure and assess
  • Coordinate with stakeholders for consensus building
  • Forms framework from which to build environmental program
OML summary

- The seabed Ni and Co potential is many times larger than known land-based resources.
- Seabed resources are available in favorable, non-corrupt jurisdictions.
- Costs to produce battery metals from seabed nodules are competitive with costs of new sources (e.g., nickel laterites).
- The production of Rare Earth Elements from the seabed has real and scalable potential.
- We believe the environmental and social consequences of seabed mining are manageable.
Current status & way forward

• OML provides the US with a direct path to strategic resources in CI EEZ.

• EU, China, Japan, Korea, and Russian governments actively support underwater mining development.

• OML requires early stage support to develop the project.

• Prompt action is needed to ensure certainty and security of supply.

OML is the ONLY US Entity with access to these valuable and strategic deep seabed resources. All other key nations are pursuing deep ocean resources.
Contact us

Ocean Minerals LLC
10050 Cash Road
Stafford, Texas 77477
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This document is not an offer to sell, nor a solicitation of an offer to buy any security. The information set forth in this document shall not be construed to be a representation or warranty of any nature. The recipient hereof understands that they should not rely on the information contained herein but should personally check and verify any such information. The statements in this document, that may be considered forward-looking, are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those protected, including uncertainties in the market, pricing, competition, and other risks detailed herein.